



#### Notice of a public meeting of

#### **Economy, Place, Access and Transport Scrutiny Committee**

**To:** Councillors K Taylor (Chair), B Burton, J Burton, Fenton,

Healey (Vice-Chair), Hook, Whitcroft, Steward, Vassie

and Merrett

**Date:** Tuesday, 22 October 2024

**Time:** 5.30 pm

**Venue:** West Offices - Station Rise, York YO1 6GA

#### <u>AGENDA</u>

#### 1. Apologies for Absence

To receive and note apologies for absence.

#### 2. Declarations of Interest

(Pages 1 - 2)

At this point in the meeting, Members and co-opted members are asked to declare any disclosable pecuniary interest, or other registerable interest, they might have in respect of business on this agenda, if they have not already done so in advance on the Register of Interests. The disclosure must include the nature of the interest.

**3. Minutes** (Pages 3 - 12)

To approve and sign the minutes of the Economy, Place, Access, and Transport Policy and Scrutiny Committee meetings held on 24 September 2024.

#### 4. Public Participation

At this point in the meeting members of the public who have registered to speak can do so. Members of the public may speak on agenda items or on matters within the remit of the Committee.

Please note that our registration deadlines are set as 2 working days before the meeting, in order to facilitate the management of public participation at our meetings. The deadline for registering at this meeting is Friday 18 October 5:00pm on 2024.

To register to speak please visit

www.york.gov.uk/AttendCouncilMeetings to fill in an online registration form. If you have any questions about the registration form or the meeting, please contact Democratic Services. Contact details can be found at the foot of this agenda.

Webcasting of Public Meetings

Please note that, subject to available resources, this meeting will be webcast including any registered public speakers who have given their permission. The meeting can be viewed live and on demand at <a href="https://www.york.gov.uk/webcasts">www.york.gov.uk/webcasts</a>.

During coronavirus, we made some changes to how we ran council meetings, including facilitating remote participation by public speakers. See our updates (<a href="www.york.gov.uk/COVIDDemocracy">www.york.gov.uk/COVIDDemocracy</a>) for more information on meetings and decisions.

Written representations in respect of items on this agenda should be submitted to Democratic Services by 5.00pm on Friday 18 October 2024.

- 5. 2024/25 Finance and Performance Monitor 1 (Pages 13 36) This report sets out the projected 2024/25 financial position and the performance position for the period covering 1 April 2024 to 30 June 2024.
- 6. City centre access: reviewing the implementation
  Report to follow.

# 7. Broadband management of installation process and its impact on communities Report to follow.

8. Work Plan (Pages 37 - 42)

Members are asked to consider the Committee's work plan for the 2023/24 municipal year.

#### 9. Urgent Business

Any other business which the Chair considers urgent under the Local Government Act 1972.

Democratic Services officer:

Name:

Robert Flintoft

Contact details:

- Telephone (01904) 555704
- Email Robert.flintoft@york.gov.uk

For more information about any of the following please contact the Democratic Services Officer responsible for servicing this meeting:

- Registering to speak
- · Business of the meeting
- Any special arrangements
- Copies of reports and
- For receiving reports in other formats

Contact details are set out above.

#### **Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative language or format (e.g. large print, braille, Audio, BSL or Easy Read) you can:



Email us at: cycaccessteam@york.gov.uk



Call us: **01904 551550** and customer services will pass your request onto the Access Team.



Use our BSL Video Relay Service: <a href="https://www.york.gov.uk/BSLInterpretingService">www.york.gov.uk/BSLInterpretingService</a> Select 'Switchboard' from the menu.





#### We can also translate into the following languages:

我們也用您們的語言提供這個信息 (Cantonese)

এই তথ্য আপনার নিজের ভাষায় দেয়া যেতে পারে। (Bengali) Ta informacja może być dostarczona w twoim własnym języku.

Bu bilgiyi kendi dilinizde almanız mümkündür. (Turkish) - په معلومات آپ کی اپنی زبان (بولی) میں بھی مہیا کی جاسکتی ہیں۔ (Urdu)

#### **Declarations of Interest – guidance for Members**

(1) Members must consider their interests, and act according to the following:

Type of Interest	You must
Disclosable Pecuniary Interests	Disclose the interest, not participate in the discussion or vote, and leave the meeting <u>unless</u> you have a dispensation.
Other Registrable Interests (Directly Related) OR Non-Registrable Interests (Directly Related)	Disclose the interest; speak on the item only if the public are also allowed to speak, but otherwise not participate in the discussion or vote, and leave the meeting unless you have a dispensation.
Other Registrable Interests (Affects) OR Non-Registrable Interests (Affects)	Disclose the interest; remain in the meeting, participate and vote <u>unless</u> the matter affects the financial interest or well-being:  (a) to a greater extent than it affects the financial interest or well-being of
	a majority of inhabitants of the affected ward; and (b) a reasonable member of the public knowing all the facts would believe that it would affect your view of the wider public interest.
	In which case, speak on the item only if the public are also allowed to speak, but otherwise do not participate in the discussion or vote, and leave the meeting unless you have a dispensation.

- (2) Disclosable pecuniary interests relate to the Member concerned or their spouse/partner.
- (3) Members in arrears of Council Tax by more than two months must not vote in decisions on, or which might affect, budget calculations, and must disclose at the meeting that this restriction applies to them. A failure to comply with these requirements is a criminal offence under section 106 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992.

This page is intentionally left blank

City of York Council	Committee Minutes
Meeting	Economy, Place, Access and Transport Scrutiny Committee
Date	24 September 2024
Present	Councillors K Taylor (Chair), J Burton, Fenton, Healey (Vice-Chair), Hook, Steward, Merrett, Coles (Substitute) and Wilson (Substitute)
In Attendance	Councillors Kent and Ravilious
Officers In Attendance	James Gilchrist - Director of Transport, Environment and Planning Patrick Looker - Head of Service Finance Ben Grabham - Head of Environmental Services Julian Ridge - Sustainable Transport Manager Michael Howard - Head of Highways and Transport Tom Horner - Head of Active and Sustainable Transport Andy Vose - Transport Policy Manager
Apologies	Councillors Vassie, Ben Burton, Whitcroft

#### 8. Declarations of Interest (17:31)

Members were asked to declare, at this point in the meeting, any personal interests not included on the Register of Interests or any prejudicial or disclosable pecuniary interest that they might have in respect of the business on the agenda.

Cllr Wilson declared that she had a non-prejudicial interest in relation to minutes 13 and 14 as she worked for York Bike Bells.

Cllr Merrett declared that he had a non-prejudicial interest in relation to minutes 13 and 14 as a member of the York Cycle Campaign, York Bus Forum, and was previously a member of York Civic Trust when they commented on the Local Transport Strategy and was a member of the Enhanced Bus Forum.

Cllr Jane Burton declared that she had a non-prejudicial interest in relation to minutes 12, 13, and 14 as a member of the York Disability Rights Forum steering group.

#### 9. Minutes (17:34)

Resolved: The Committee agreed the minutes of the 25 June 2024 meetings be signed as a correct record.

#### 10. Public Participation (17:35)

It was reported that there had been 8 registrations to speak at the meeting under the Council's Public Participation Scheme. The Committee had also received several written representations that could be found as a supplement to the agenda.

Flick Williams raised concerns relating to accessibility in the city from buses to public toilet provision, in which she highlighted that the Guildhall accessible toilets were not open to the public. She noted that the York Disability Rights Forum was incorrectly listed as being a part of the Public Toilets Stakeholder Group. She also raised her concern that the York Local Transport Strategy was a plan for mainly improved cycling with other bits as addons.

Tony May spoke on behalf of the York Civic Trust who had advised on the York Local Transport Strategy. He noted their support for the vision but was concerned about a lack of ambition and wished to see a longer-term implementation plan.

Anna Semlyen noted that car traffic had increased by 26% since the Covid-19 Pandemic and was concerned that current plans would not meet the Council's reduction in car travel targets. She spoke in favour of introducing 20 mph speed limits across the city and highlighted the reduction in deaths and accidents the change has had elsewhere.

Dinah Barry asked that the Committee support a zebra crossing outside the New Earswick Folk Hall. She noted that Haxby Road currently split New Earswick in two for many residents and a zebra crossing would assist residents accessing all areas of their community.

Lynette Mills spoke on behalf of York Cycle Campaign who she noted welcomed the Local Cycling and Walking Implementation Plan. She noted that the campaign had some concerns about the Local Transport Strategy

implementation plan being only two years and wished to see more active travel targets included to be completed within the timescale.

Niall McFerran spoke on behalf of the York Bus Forum and noted that they welcomed the Local Transport Implementation plan. He noted the need to improve bus services and asked how this would be impacted by recent service provision cuts. He noted the need for more accessibility spaces on buses and recommended the Council explore a regional bus app and full enfranchisement.

Diane Roworth requested that the Council rewrite the Local Transport Implementation plan with the social model of disability in mind which the Council had adopted. She suggested that each scheme should identify its impact on the disabled and how this could be mitigated.

Geoff Beacon spoke regarding the climate emergency and noted his concern that the Council was not willing to inform residents what might be required to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2030. He noted studies which suggested even with alternative options lots of people would still choose to drive therefore policy was needed to encourage people not to drive and choose other forms of transport.

#### 11. 2023/24 Finance and Performance Outturn (17:57)

The Committee considered the 2023/24 Finance and Performance Outturn report. Members asked about a few data points, enquiring whether there was more recent data available, and it was confirmed that this could be expected in the quarter one report scheduled for the Committees October meeting.

The Committee asked whether additional data on housing costs which also included rent increases could be included, it was confirmed that officers would investigate whether this data was available. Members also enquired about the cost of implementing the garden waste collection scheme. Officers confirmed that due to not having a full year of revenue and the implementing of the scheme had meant that the Council had set out funding for this while the scheme gets underway.

#### Resolved:

- i. Noted the finance and performance information;
- ii. To include data on rent prices in Finance and Performance monitors if available.

Reason: To ensure expenditure is kept within the approved budget.

#### 12. Public Toilet Provision: Update Report (18:22)

The Committee were joined by Martin Fearon Managing Director from Healthmatic who are contracted by the Council for the management of its non-park toilets.

Officers outlined that the Council's current public toilet provision. It was confirmed that the Council has the powers to provide toilet provision in the city but there was no duty to provide this provision. Officers outlined investments at places such as Coppergate and Silver Street that had taken place in recent years and work underway at Acomb Front Street, it was noted that the Council was awaiting round 3 of Changing Places funding which could be bid for to further improve provision.

The Committee enquired with Martin Fearon and Officers who the contract with Healthmatic had performed for both the Council and Healthmatic. Martin Fearon noted from Healthmatic's perspective the contract had performed well with its aim to maintain the same level of provision as well as protecting the Council from rising costs. Offices agreed that the contract had performed well. They noted that the challenge was always to get the balance right between provision and quality.

Members discussed the Council's stakeholder group on public toilets. The Executive Member for Environment and Climate Emergency confirmed that the stakeholder group was a better way to report issues with provision which was outside of the Healthmatic contract such as event provision. Members discussed the Take a Seat Campaign and considered how the Council could promote the campaign and publicly acknowledge businesses currently signed up.

Members enquired about the cost of the Healthmatic contract and how much was generated through charging to use public provision. Martin Fearon confirmed that the contract was £79,000 and they made about £75,000 from charging at public toilets. He confirmed that the money generated from charging did not cover the cost of maintaining provision. The committee discussed what improvements were possible at public provision. It was confirmed that there was a lot of options if funding was available. It was noted that small cosmetic changes could have low-cost options, however, substantial layout changes which upgraded things such as toilets and sinks could be quite expensive. It was also noted that damage to provision was not uncommon, and provision needed to be considered with this in mind.

Late night and nighttime provision were discussed, it was confirmed that while possible to open for longer hours there was several challenges. It was noted that both the Police and the Council's Community Safety team had safety concerns related to late openings. There was also an increased cost to maintaining a 24-hour provision in both operating costs and increased maintenance costs due to increased levels of expected vandalism. Martin Fearon noted that there was a growing trend across the country to reduce opening hours which had seen a reduction in recorded vandalism.

The Committee also discussed provision in the city for disabled residents and visitors. Members highlighted that the accessible toilets at the Guildhall were not open to individuals not visiting the Guildhall, they noted that the Guildhall was not managed by the Council but requested that the Council seek a way with the University of York for this provision to be made available. Members also enquired about whether the Council had representation on the Stakeholder Group from people with medical conditions such as crohn's disease to ensure provision was meeting people's needs. The Committee also raised some concerns relating to access to public provision for the visually impaired and officers confirmed that they would review how the visually impaired could access accessible toilet provision in the city.

#### Resolved:

- i. That the Committee supports the Council bidding for round 3 of Changing Places funding when funding becomes available to fund improvements to public toilet provision;
- That the Executive Member for Environment and Climate Emergency explore how to improve access to public toilets for the visually impaired;
- iii. That the Council ensure that the stakeholder Group on Public Toilets include representation from individuals with health conditions such as Crohn's disease.

Reason: To ensure the city has sufficient public toilet provision.

#### 13. Local Transport Strategy Implementation Plan 2024-2026 (19:07)

Councillor Coles left the meeting at this point.

Officers introduced the report, they confirmed that the Implementation Plan is meant to outlined what the Council needs to do to achieve the vision set

out in the Transport Strategy. They confirmed that the Council did not currently have funding for everything outlined in the plan, however, unfunded schemes were included to allow the Council to progress them if future funding becomes available.

The Committee enquired as to why the implementation plan covered only two years and whether a longer-term implementation plan could be produced. Officers explained that the proposal for a two-year plan was due to the work on the Movement and Place plan which when completed would outline a lot of the longer-term schemes to be implemented and this current plan allowed the Council to have a plan in place while to the Movement and Place plan was developed.

The Committee discussed how accessibility was being considered within the implementation plan. Officers confirmed that the Council was applying equal weight to the Inclusive Mobility Department for Transport Document as it did to LTN120 and confirmed that they would clarify this within the report, as well as review the documents to ensure they complied with the Social Model of Disability. Officers confirmed that with the city centre sustainable transport route they planned to go out to consultation in January 2025 on who requires access to the route.

Members discussed the plans need to ensure that the Local Transport Strategy made approves across the city including outside of the city centre. It was noted that the plan as well as the Movement and Place plan would seek to deliver across the city. An important area to this that was discussed was access to bus travel. Officers noted the Council had taken action to stabilise the network and the task now was to grow patronage. Improving the use of park and ride sites were discussed with the proposed creation of multimodal hubs.

Members enquired about the Council seeking powers from central government regarding traffic enforcement powers. Officers noted that the government had granted enforcement powers that are held by the police to some councils around traffic offenses, however, the Council had been unsuccessful and were seeking an opportunity to reapply. Members suggested that the Council might seek the support of the combined authority in lobbying for these additional powers of enforcement.

The Committee discussed the monitoring of accident data. Members enquired as to whether the Council could monitor slight as well as sever accidents when monitoring road safety. This data Members believed could provide useful data in determining the success of schemes in reducing danger on roads. Officers noted that there were challenges in collating data on slight or near miss accidents as these were often under reported but

agreed that they could review whether it was possible to collate and include this data when monitoring road safety.

The implementation of 20 mph speed limits across the city was discussed. The Committee proposed that the implementation plan should seek to implement 20 mph where appropriate across the whole of the city and surrounding villages. They noted that there was evidence from elsewhere showing the success of 20 mph. The Executive Member for Transport informed the Committee that the Council would be going out to consultation and would ask residents which roads they believed shouldn't be 20 mph and therefore hoped this would assist the Council in establishing where 20 mph could be implemented across the city.

#### Resolved:

- i. That the Committee requested that Officers reviewed the Local Transport Strategy Implementation Plan 2024-2026 to ensure it followed guidance from the Social Model of Disability and that it gave equal weight to the Department for Transport's Inclusive Mobility Guidance as it did to LTN120;
- ii. That the Committee recommended that the Local Transport Strategy Implementation Plan be expanded to a longer-term implementation plan, while acknowledging the role the Movement and Place plan will have in the planning of the implementation of the Local Transport Strategy;
- iii. That the Committee recommended that monitoring road safety include data on slight incidents as well as sever;
- iv. That the Chair and Vice Chair of the Committee write to the Mayor of York and North Yorkshire asking that the Mayor support the Council's request to Government for Highway Enforcement Powers to be granted to the Council;
- v. That the Committee recommended that the consultation on the rollout of 20 mph speed limits be included within the Local Transport Strategy Implementation Plan 2024-2026, with a view to deliver a rollout of more 20 mph speed limits across the whole of the city and surrounding villages where appropriate.

Reason: To support the delivery of the Local Transport Strategy.

# 14. Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan: Networks & Prioritisation (20:37)

Officers introduced the report and outlined that the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan would provide an evidence base for the Council

when setting out its priorities, identifying new and existing routes for active travel modes of transport, prioritised areas for improvements, and provide data when seeking investment to fund active travel projects.

Members discussed the plan and the strategic route proposals. They enquired as to how a strategic route was determined if it did not cover the whole length of a road. Officers noted that they had attempted to identify the primary core flow of cyclist traffic when it came to a strategic cycle route and welcomed suggestions for where else might need to be included. Members suggested that Councillors be contacted to provide feedback in relation to their ward.

The Committee also asked that officers seek to include the monitoring of all incidents data and not only sever incidents, officers agreed they would look into incorporating this data. Members also asked about Network Rail exploring the possibility of rerouting a public right of way in Copmanthorpe and whether the original location could be retained. Officers noted that they could investigate including something regarding the current route within the plan.

#### Resolved:

- That the Committee recommended that the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan: Networks & Prioritisation plan be shared with Ward Councillors for feedback on there local areas;
- ii. That the Committee recommended that monitoring road safety include data on slight incidents as well as sever;
- iii. That the Committee recommended that officers explore including the current public right of way rail crossing location in Copmanthorpe within the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.

Reason: To support the Council in completing the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.

#### 15. Work Plan (20:48)

The Committee considered its work plan for 2024/35.

#### Resolved:

i. Noted the Committee work plan.

Reason: To ensure the Committee maintains a programme of work.

Cllr Taylor, Chair [The meeting started at 5.31 pm and finished at 8.52 pm].

This page is intentionally left blank



### **Economy, Place, Access and Transport Scrutiny Committee**

22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024

Report of the Director of Finance

#### 2024/25 Finance and Performance Monitor 1

#### **Summary**

- 1. This report sets out the projected 2024/25 financial position and the performance position for the period covering 1 April 2024 to 30 June 2024, together with an overview of any emerging issues. This is the first report of the financial year and assesses performance against budgets, including progress in delivering the Council's savings programme.
- 2. This report outlines the Council's challenging financial position with a forecast overspend for 2024/25 of £3.4m. This is a huge improvement on the £11.4m forecast overspend we have previously seen at this stage in the financial year and is a direct result of the significant work undertaken by officers across all parts of the Council to identify savings and mitigations.
- 3. However, there is still a forecast overspend and therefore, whilst it is incredibly positive that the position is much improved, there remains a great deal of work still to do. It remains clear that the Council cannot afford to keep spending at this level. The general reserve is £6.9m and, whilst we have other earmarked reserves that we could call on if required, continued overspending will quickly see the Council exhaust its reserves.
- 4. The existing cost control measures remain in place, and further action is needed to bring spending down to an affordable level, both within the current financial year and over the next 3 years, to safeguard the Council's financial resilience and stability. The impact that this work is having can be clearly seen in this latest forecast and the Council's track record of delivering savings, along with robust financial management, provides a sound platform to continue to be able to deal with future challenges.

5. If we continue to take action and make any difficult decisions now, this will ensure the future financial stability of the Council and that we can continue to provide services for our residents. It is vital that mitigations are delivered, and the forecast overspend is reduced.

#### **Background**

#### **Financial Summary and Mitigation Strategy**

- 6. The current forecast is that there will be an overspend of £3.4m. This is despite the additional budget allocated through the 2024/25 budget process and ongoing action being taken by managers across the Council to try and reduce expenditure. If the Council continues to spend at the current level, and no action is taken, then we will continue to overspend and will exhaust our reserves and any other available funding. The current level of expenditure is unaffordable and therefore we must continue the work started in the previous financial year to identify and take the necessary actions to reduce expenditure.
- 7. As outlined in reports to Executive throughout the previous financial year, we have continued to see recurring overspends across both Adult and Children's Social Care. However, the underspends and mitigations that have allowed us to balance the budget at year end have generally been one off. Whilst the use of reserves to fund an overspend is appropriate as a one-off measure, it does not remove the need to identify ongoing savings to ensure the overall position is balanced. The budget report considered by Executive in February 2024 also included an assessment of risks associated with the budget, which included the need to secure further savings and effectively manage cost pressures.
- 8. Members will be aware that the financial position of local government is a national challenge and that the pressures being seen across both Adult and Children's Social Care are not something that is unique to York. Many Councils are experiencing significant financial pressures and struggling to balance their budgets now, so it is vital that we continue the work started last year to reduce our expenditure down to a sustainable level both within the current financial year and over the medium term.
- 9. Given the scale of the financial challenge, and the expected impact on budgets in future years, it is vital that every effort is made to balance the overall position. It is recognised that this will require difficult decisions to be made to protect services for vulnerable residents.

- 10. Corporate control measures are in place, but it is unlikely they will deliver the scale of reduction needed within the year. Other savings proposals, including service reductions, may also be needed. Officers will continue to carefully monitor spend, identify further mitigation, and review reserves and other funding to make every effort to reduce this forecast position. However, it is possible that it will not be reduced to the point that the outturn will be within the approved budget. The Council has £6.9m of general reserves that would need to be called on if this were the case. As outlined in previous reports, any use of the general reserve would require additional savings to be made in the following year to replenish the reserve and ensure it remains at the recommended minimum level.
- 11. The delivery of savings plans continues to be a clear priority for all officers during the year. Corporate Directors and Directors will keep Executive Members informed of progress on a regular basis.

#### **Financial Analysis**

12. The Council's net budget is £149m. Following on from previous years, the challenge of delivering savings continues with c£14m to be achieved to reach a balanced budget. Early forecasts indicate the Council is facing net financial pressures of £3.4m and an overview of this forecast, on a directorate by directorate basis, is outlined in Table 1 below.

Service area	Net budget	2024/25
	£'000	Forecast
		Variation
		£'000
Children & Education	28,659	1,359
Adult Social Care & Integration	45,307	2,361
Transport, Environment & Planning	23,464	-547
Housing & Communities	6,614	792
Corporate & Central Services	44,724	-69
Sub Total	148,768	3,896
Contingency	500	-500
Target for further mitigation		
Total including contingency	149,268	3,396

Table 1: Finance overview

#### **Directorate Analysis**

#### **Transport, Environment and Planning**

13. The directorate is forecasting an underspend at quarter 1 of £547k and the table below summarises the latest forecasts by service area.

	2024/25 Budget	Forecast Outturn Variance	Forecast Outturn Variance
	£'000	£'000	%
Transport	7,278	-554	-7.6
Fleet	-127	36	28.3
Highways	5,258	-200	-3.8
Parking Services	-8,348	-65	-0.8
Waste	15,033	-654	-4.4
Public Realm	3,422	-20	-0.6
Emergency Planning	145	0	0
Planning Services	39	10	25.6
Public Protection	809	0	0
Community Safety	715	0	0
Directorate Savings	-900	+900	-100
TOTAL	23,324	-547	-2.3

- 14. The Transport. Environment and Planning Directorate is projecting a gross underspend of £1,447k after the first quarter which reduces to £547k once the directorate savings target of £900k is considered. The primary reason for the underspend is continued strong performance from income particularly relating to parking. The two main areas of savings are across Transport and Waste Services. These are detailed further in the paragraphs below.
- 15. Within Transport there was an underspend of £0.5m across the service. There is a forecast underspend against the Concessionary Fares budget of £520k as numbers of concessionary passengers have not fully returned to pre pandemic levels although the saving is lower than 2023/24.
- 16. Car park income for the first quarter is within 1% of budget as transactions have reduced by 5% but income per transaction increased by 12%. The has meant total income is c5% higher than 2023/24 in line with budget.

This will continue to be monitored closely as the budget is c £2m higher than last year.

- 17. There is a forecast underspend of (£654k) across waste disposal and collection. Income from selling spare capacity at Allerton Waste Recovery Plan is forecast to be £200k higher than forecast as overall council waste tonnages remain relatively static. There is also additional forecast income from recycling rebates, HWRC commercial waste fees bulky waste fees and gas sales of c £500k.
- 18. Across Waste Collection operational costs are forecast to be £360k below budget as vehicle repairs and the cost of hire was below budget as the fleet is relatively new.
- 19. The garden waste subscription service is being introduced from August which is resulting in a reduced charge for the service for the financial year. There is potentially a shortfall of £500k in the year but this will be updated when total subscriber numbers are known. There have been c.30,000 subscribers to end of July.
- 20. Within the Highways area there is an anticipated underspend of £200k as electricity prices have reduced for unmetered supply to a lower level than assumed in the budget.
- 21. As part of the budget process a saving of £900k was identified to be delivered across the former Place Directorate. It is proposed that permanent budget reductions are made to the following budget areas reflecting current costs.

	£'000	Description
Highways	200	Reduced Street Lighting Costs
Waste Collection	300	Reduced vehicle hire and maintenance costs
Waste Disposal	200	Reduced Yorwaste fixed price charges for Materials Recycling Facility
Transport	200	Reduced concessionary fare payments
Total	900	

22. Further service reviews will be undertaken in this area in order to deliver savings through future budget rounds.

23. Across City Development Directorate it is assumed that the revenue budget of £710k will be on target. There are no reported variances at Monitor 1.

#### Performance - Service Delivery

- 24. This performance report is based upon the city outcome and council delivery indicators included in the Performance Framework for the Council Plan (2023-2027) which was launched in September 2023. Wider or historic strategic and operational performance information is published quarterly on the Council's open data platform; www.yorkopendata.org.uk
- 25. The Executive for the Council Plan (2023-2027) agreed a core set of indicators to help monitor the Council priorities and these provide the structure for performance updates in this report. Some indicators are not measured on a quarterly basis and the DoT (Direction of Travel) is calculated on the latest three results whether they are annual or quarterly.
- 26. A summary of the city outcome and council delivery indicators by council plan theme are shown in the paragraphs below along with the latest data for all of the core indicator set.

Eco	onomy: A fair,	, thriving, gre	en ecc	nomy for	all (City)	
	Previous Data	Latest Data	DoT	Frequency	Benchmarks	Data Next Available
Universal Credit: Claimants	13,258 (2023/24)	13,387 (Q1 2024/25)	$\Rightarrow$	Quarterly	Not available	Q2 2024/25 data available in October 2024
Earnings gap between the 25 percentile and the median (£) (York)	£164.20 (2022/23)	£152.20 (2023/24)	<b>↓</b> Good	Annual	Not available	2024/25 data available in Decembe 2024
Housing affordability (median house prices to earnings ratio)	8.85 (2021/22)	9.3 (2022/23)	<b>↑</b> Bad	Annual	National Data 2022/23 8.14	2023/24 data available in March 2025
% of vacant city centre shops	8.87% (2023/24)	8.40% (Q1 2024/25)	$\Rightarrow$	Monthly	National Data 2022/23 13.80%	Q2 2024/25 data available in October 2024
Business Startups - (YTD)	796 (February 2023)	767 (February 2024)	$\Rightarrow$	Quarterly	Not available	TBC
GVA per head (£)	33,571 (2021/22)	37,313 (2022/23)	<b>↑</b> Good	Annual	Regional Rank 2022/23: 2	2023/24 data available in May 2025
% of working age population in employment (16-64)	83.60% (2022/23)	78.00% (2023/24)	$\Rightarrow$	Quarterly	National Data 2023/24 75.50%	Q1 2024/25 data available in October 2024
% of Total Employees working for an Accredited Good Business Charter employer	12.10% (2021/22)	13.40% (2022/23)	⇒	Annual	Not available	2023/24 data available in October 2024
% of Total Employees working for an Accredited Living Wage employer	14% (2021/22)	16% (2022/23)	$\Rightarrow$	Annual	Not available	2023/24 data available in September 2024
Survival of Newly Born Businesses post 1 year	94.00% (2021/22)	94.40% (2022/23)	⇒	Annual	Not available	2023/24 data available in Novembe 2024

The DoT (Direction of Travel) is calculated on the latest three data points whether they are annual or quarterly.

All historic data is available via the Open Data Platform

- 27. **Universal Credit Claimants –** At the end of June 2024 there were 13,387 people, in York, on Universal Credit. This is the highest figure to date, surpassing the previous high of 13,236 in February 2021. The figures dropped to a low of 11,054 in May 2022 but they have steadily increased since then. This is a mixture of increased claimants and people who have been converting over from other schemes, with this picture becoming clearer in 2024-25 as DWP predicts/plans for all people to have moved over to Universal Credit. This represents 10% of the working population in York, compared to 18.9% regionally and 16.8% nationally.
- 28. There are two types of claimant: those in employment and those not. Both types have been gradually increasing in the last 12 months with the number of those not in employment increasing as claimants of health-related legacy benefits (e.g. Employment and Support Allowance) are migrated across to Universal Credit. The increase in the number of those in employment may be attributed to a higher percentage of part time workers (29.1% in York, 26.5% regionally and 23.7% nationally).
- 29. **Earnings gap between the 25 percentile and the median (£) –** In York, the latest figures show that this gap has reduced by 7.3%, in 2023, to £152.20. This is the lowest gap since 2018-19. Nationally, there has been an increase of 1.4% to £163.20 and regionally an increase of 4.7% to £139.50. Data for 2024-25 will be available in December 2024.
- 30. Housing affordability (median house prices to earnings ratio) In 2023, full-time employees, in York, could expect to spend around 9.3 times their annual earnings buying a home. The equivalent figure in England is 8.3 times their annual earnings, with 6.1 times in the region. In York this has increased by 3.8% on last year whilst at the national and regional level, these ratios are similar to 2022, and represent a return to the precoronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic trend. Data for 2023-24 will be available in March 2025.
- 31. **% of vacant city centre shops –** Whilst acknowledging that a number of city centre streets and prime commercial locations seem to be experiencing higher vacancy levels than York's average, overall at the end of June 2024, there were 53 vacant shops in the city centre (four more than the number at the end of June 2023), which equates to 8.4% of all city centre shops. This is much lower than the national benchmark in 2022-23 of 13.8%. The York figures have remained stable for a number of years.

- 32. **Business start ups** Figures for 2022-23 showed 870 new business start-ups for York, which is higher than in the previous year (746 in 2021-22). The York figure is at only a slightly lower level to that seen before the pandemic (932 in 2019-20). The year to date figure up to the end of February 2024 of 767 new start ups is at a similar level to last year. The monthly figures for business start ups in York came from a regionally paid for dataset but this has now come to an end. Alternative sources of this information are being sought.
- 33. **GVA per head (£) –** In 2022-23, the GVA per head in York was £37,313 which was the second highest figure regionally. This latest figure is an increase from last year (£33,571). Annually since 2009-10, the GVA per head has generally been increasing (from £25,976 per head).
- 34. **% of working age population in employment (16-64) –** In 2023-24, 78% of the working age population were in employment, which is higher than the national and regional figures (75.5% and 73.1% respectively) and the York performance gives the city a ranking of second regionally. The figure for 2023-24 in York remains fairly high overall but is lower than the figures seen for the previous two years.
- 35. % of Total Employees working for an Accredited Living Wage/Good Business Charter employer 16% of employees worked for an Accredited Living Wage employer and 13% worked for an Accredited Good Business Charter employer in 2022-23, which are both higher than in the previous year (14% and 12% respectively). Data for 2023-24 will be available in October 2024.
- 36. Survival of Newly Born Businesses post 1 year In York, 175 businesses were created in Q3 2023-24, up 6% on a year ago. There were 160 business closures in the same quarter, down 8% on the year before. The survival rate post 1 year has been consistently above 94% in York for the last 4 years, with the latest figure of 94.4%. The York figures have been consistently higher than the National and Regional rates. Data for 2023-24 will be available in November 2024.

Tran	sport: Sustai	nable access	sible tra	ansport fo	r all (City)	
	Previous Data	Latest Data	DoT	Frequency	Benchmarks	Data Next Available
P&R Passenger Journeys	2m (YTD Dec 21	2.4m (Prov) (YTD Dec 22)	<b>1</b> Good	Quarterly	Not available	ТВС
Local bus passenger journeys originating in the authority area (excluding P&R)	5.54m (YTD Dec 22)	7.26m (Prov) (YTD Dec 22)	<b>1</b> Good	Quarterly	Not available	ТВС
Area Wide Traffic Levels (07:00 - 19:00) (Excluding A64) from 2009/10 baseline (2.09m)	2.07m (2021/22)	2.08m (2022/23)	₽	Annual	Not available	2023/24 data available in Summer 2024
Index of cycling activity (12 hour) from 2009 Baseline (31,587)	115.00% (2022)	113.00% (2023)	⇒	Annual	Not available	2024 data available early 2025
Index of pedestrians walking to and from the City Centre (12 hour in and out combined) from 2009/10 Baseline (37,278)	104.00% (2021)	123.00% (2022)	⇒	Annual	Not available	2023 data available in Summer 2024
% of customers arriving at York Station by sustainable modes of transport (cycling, walking, taxi or bus - excluding cars, Lift, Motorcycle, Train)	60% (2022)	78% (2023)	⇒	Annual	Not available	2024 data available June 2025

- All historic data is available via the Open Data Platform
- 37. The majority of the indicators in this Transport section are annual indicators, therefore data is not yet available for all indicators for 2023-24. As soon as new data becomes available, narrative will be included in future versions of this report.
- 38. **Area Wide Traffic Levels –** Between 2011-12 and 2016-17, the number of vehicles on the city's roads increased year on year to a high of 2.2 million. Following this, the numbers decreased to a low of 1.75m in 2020-21. However, the covid pandemic brought with it numerous national lockdowns and local restrictions so the decrease in traffic levels was to be expected. Since then, figures have been increasing again to the latest figure of 2.08m in 2022-23. Data for 2023-24 will be available in Summer 2024.
- 39. **Index of Cycling activity –** Prior to the pandemic, cycling levels in the city were around 41% (2019) above the baseline taken in 2009. The latest data shows that cycling levels in 2023 were 13% above the baseline. The pandemic had a huge effect on how people travel around, and how much they travel. Other cities with high levels of cycling have also seen falls in activity. In York, cycling levels appear to have fallen because of a decline in commuting (as a result of more working from home), although travel patterns are still settling down. York has a strong walking and cycling heritage, but if we are to achieve our climate and traffic reduction targets and see a long-term, sustainable increase in rates of cycling, we need to enable more people to choose the bicycle as the primary way of getting around. There is much more to be done to encourage even more people

towards riding, wheeling and walking in the future, and we have recently carried out an extensive consultation to better understand what changes we can make to help support residents to make the change to cycling, and how we can support our cycling communities. Data for 2024 will be available in early 2025.

- 40. Index of pedestrians walking to and from the City Centre From a baseline in 2009-10 (37,278), there has been a 23% increase in the number of pedestrians walking to and from the city centre in 2022-23. This is 19 %pts higher than in 2021-22 and the highest increase seen for a number of years. Data is gathered on an annual basis over the course of one day; it is a count of pedestrians crossing an inner cordon set just beyond the inner ring road and includes off-road routes such as riverside paths. Data for 2023 will be available in Summer 2024.
- 41. % of customers arriving at York station by sustainable modes of transport In 2023, 78% of customers arrived at York station by sustainable modes of transport which is an increase from 60% in 2022 (the survey was delayed in 2022 so didn't take place until January 2023 which may have affected the result, and in addition, two of the usual counting locations were missed which may explain the lower than usual percentage). The data is usually gathered by an annual survey which takes place for a five-hour period in seven locations around the station. Members of the public are asked how they arrive at the station and the results are flow weighted to take into account the split of people arriving at each entrance.

Transport: Sustainable accessible transport for all (Council)								
	Previous Data	Latest Data	DoT	Frequency	Benchmarks	Data Next Available		
The number of CYC electric vehicle recharging points in York	103 (2023/24)	103 (Q1 2024/25)	⇒	Quarterly	Not available	Q2 2024/25 data available in October 2024		
% of Principal roads where maintenance should be considered	11% (2021/22)	11% (2023/24)	⇒	Annual	National Data 2022/23 4%	2024/25 data available in June 2025		
% of Non-principal classified roads where maintenance should be considered	23% (2021/22)	25% (2023/24)	⇒	Annual	National Data 2022/23 6%	2024/25 data available in June 2025		
The DoT (Direction of Travel) is calculated on the latest three data points whether they are annual or quarterly.  All historic data is available via the Open Data Platform								

- 42. The number of CYC electric vehicle recharging points There were 103 CYC electric recharging points at the end of Q1 2024-25, which is seven fewer than at the same point in the previous year.
- 43. **% of Principal/Non-principal roads where maintenance should be considered –** In 2023-24, the percentage of principal roads in York where

maintenance should be considered was 11% (the same as in 2021-22). This is considerably higher than the latest benchmarks in 2022-23 (National average 4% and Regional average 3%). The percentage of non-principal roads in York where maintenance should be considered was 25% in 2023-24 (slightly higher than 23% in 2021-22). This is also considerably higher than the latest benchmarks in 2022-23 (National average 6% and Regional average 3%).

Housing: Increasing the supply of affordable housing (City)							
	Previous Data	Latest Data	DoT	Frequency	Benchmarks	Data Next Available	
Net Additional Homes Provided - (YTD)	459 (2022/23)	528 (2023/24)	<b>1</b> Good	Bi-annual	Not available	2024/25 mid-year data available in December 2024	
Net Housing Consents - (YTD)	1,559 (2022/23)	658 (2023/24)	<b>₽</b> Bad	Bi-annual	Not available	2024/25 mid-year data available in December 2024	

The DoT (Direction of Travel) is calculated on the latest three data points whether they are annual or quarterly. All historic data is available via the Open Data Platform

- 44. **Net Additional Homes –** Between 1st April 2023 and 31<sup>st</sup> March 2024, a total of **462 net additional homes** were completed. This total comprises two elements:
  - There has been a total of 529 net housing completions. This
    represents 69 more completed homes compared to the previous
    twelve-month monitoring period. The main features of the housing
    completions that were carried out are:
    - 480 homes (90.9%) were completed on housing sites (Use Class 3). Of this, 390 were new build homes (81%).
    - 8 homes were demolished during the monitoring period.
    - Individual sites that saw the construction of five or less dwellings during the monitoring period contributed just 56 (10.6%) homes.
    - Significant sites providing housing completions (Use Class C3) over the monitoring period have been Germany Beck (117), The Cocoa Works, Haxby Road (Phase 1 Blocks B and C) (107), Former Civil Service Club, Boroughbridge Road (79) and the Former Vacant Site, Eboracum Way (62).
    - A net total of 29 (5.5%) off campus, privately managed student 'cluster flats' were completed at Aubrey House Foss Islands Road.
    - 143 (27.1%) net additional homes were a result of changes from other uses to residential homes.

- A loss of 66 net equivalent homes resulted from the closure of three care homes within the authority area during the twelve-month monitoring period.
- 45. **Net Housing Consents –** Planning applications determined during the full monitoring period of 1<sup>st</sup> April 2023 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2024 resulted in the approval of **658 net additional homes**. This compares to 1,559 net approvals granted the previous year. However, a further 513 homes were approved at Planning Committee during the monitoring period and are still awaiting legal agreement sign off.
- 46. The main features of the housing approvals are:
  - 577 of all net homes consented (87.7%) were granted on housing sites (Use Class C3).
  - Significant sites granted approval for housing (Use Class C3) includes Land at New Lane, Huntington (300), Os Field South of & Adjacent to 1 Tadcaster Road, Copmanthorpe (158), Land East of Middlewood Close, Rufforth (21) and Clifton Without County Junior School, Rawcliffe Drive (15).
  - 59 homes were approved on sites of 5 or less homes.
  - A net total of 33 new homes across three sites were granted 'prior approval', the most significant of which was at Gateway 2, Holgate Park Drive (31).
  - 44 net new retirement homes were allowed on appeal at 11 The Village, Wigginton.
  - A further 513 homes have been approved through a resolution to grant consent at Planning Committee over the last twelve months and are currently subject to the execution of a section 106 legal agreement. These sites include:
    - Land to the East of Millfield Industrial Estate, Main Street, Wheldrake (139).
    - o Enterprise Rent-a-car, 15 Foss Islands Road (133).
    - Paddock lying between Park Lodge and Willow Bank, Haxby Road (117).
    - Land to the South-East of 51 Moor Lane, Copmanthorpe (75).
    - o Tramways Club, 1 Mill Street (35).

Sustainability: Cutting carbon, enhancing the environment for our future (City)							
	Previous Data	Latest Data	DoT	Frequency	Benchmarks	Data Next Available	
Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting	41.26% (Prov) (2022/23)	40.68% (Prov) (2023/24)	⇧	Quarterly	National Data 2022/23 41.70%	Q1 2024/25 data available in October 2024	
% of Talkabout panel satisfied with their local area as a place to live	79.68% (Q3 2023/24)	81.00% (Q1 2024/25)	⇧	Bi-annual	Community Life Survey 2021/22 76%	Q3 2024/25 data available in January 2025	

The DoT (Direction of Travel) is calculated on the latest three data points whether they are annual or quarterly. All historic data is available via the Open Data Platform

- 47. Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting The latest provisional data for the amount of household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting was 34.3% within Q4 2023-24, which is an increase from 33.4% during Q4 2022-23, however the annual rate is 40.7% which is a small decrease from 41.3% in 2022-23. Whilst there has been a small increase in the total household waste collected to 883kg per household from the same time last year (857kg), the amount sent for reuse, recycling or composting has only increased to 359kg (from 354kg in 2022-23) which means that there has been an increase in residual (approx. non-recycling) household waste now around 524kg per household (504kg last year).
- 48. This continues the national trend from 2022-23 which DEFRA suggested was primarily due to weather conditions reducing plant growth coupled with increases in the cost of living reducing expenditure on food and the home and rises in the number of people returning to a place of work maybe further reducing waste generation in the home.
- 49. % of Talkabout panel satisfied with their local area as a place to live The first biannual resident satisfaction survey taken by the Talkabout panel
  took place during Q1 2024-25. Results from the Q1 2024-25 Talkabout
  survey showed that 85% of the panel were satisfied with York as a place to
  live, up 5% from the previous survey. 81% were satisfied with their local
  area, consistent with results from Q3 2023-24. A slight decline in
  satisfaction with the local area can be seen over recent years but York
  continues to perform well against the latest national figure of 76%
  (Community Life Survey 2021-22).

Sustainability: Cutting carbon, enhancing the environment for our future (Council)								
	Previous Data	Latest Data	DoT	Frequency	Benchmarks	Data Next Available		
Level of CO2 emissions from council buildings and operations (tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent)	3,633.3 (2021/22)	3,462.4 (2022/23)	♦	Annual	Not available	2023/24 data available in October 2024		
Number of trees planted (CYC)	1,099 (2022/23)	1,240 (2023/24)	<b>1</b> Good	Annual	Not available	2024/25 data avilable in May 2025		
% of Talkabout panel who think that the council are doing well at improving green spaces	36.84% (Q3 2023/24)	36.70% (Q1 2024/25)	₽	Bi-annual	Not available	Q3 2024/25 data available in January 2025		

The DoT (Direction of Travel) is calculated on the latest three data points whether they are annual or quarterly. All historic data is available via the Open Data Platform

- 50. Level of CO2 emissions across the city and from council buildings and operations Carbon emissions across the city have been reducing over recent years, from 936 kilotonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent in 2018, to 816 in 2020. Emissions from councils buildings and operations have also been reducing, from 3,658 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent in 2020-21 to 3,462 in 2022-23. Data for 2021 and 2023-24 will be available in October 2024.
- 51. **Number of trees planted (CYC) –** During 2023-24, CYC planted 40 standard trees in the city's parks and on highways. In addition, 1,200 trees (whips) were planted by the council's 'York Green Streets' project, fully funded by a grant from White Rose Forest (secured in February 2024) including three years aftercare to support successful establishment. This forms part of the Council Plan 2023-2028 commitment to support biodiversity and nature by planting 4,000 new trees. The YGS project team is itself 100% externally funded by a £150,000 Forestry Commission grant to 31 March 2025. A further £40,000 has been secured from DEFRA to plant two new micro-woods in York's urban area in the 2024-25 planting season and site selection is currently underway following a successful resident consultation for site suggestions in January.
- 52. Full year data for 2024-25 will not be available until May 2025, but numbers are likely to be comparable to last year as York Green Streets planted c1,800 trees (whips and standards) in April 2024.
- 53. % of Talkabout panel who think that the council are doing well at improving green spaces The results for Q1 2024-25 showed that 37% of respondents agreed the Council and its partners are doing well at improving green spaces, consistent with results from Q3 2023-24.

How the Council will operate (Council)								
	Previous Data	Latest Data	DoT	Frequency	Benchmarks	Data Next Available		
The % of the Talkabout panel reporting an 'excellent' experience when they last contacted the council about a service	8.56% (Q3 2023/24)	8.42% (Q1 2024/25)	⇧	Quarterly	Not available	Q3 2024/25 data available in January 2025		
The % of the Talkabout panel reporting a 'good' experience when they last contacted the council about a service	27.35% (Q3 2023/24)	22.11% (Q1 2024/25)	⇧	Quarterly	Not available	Q3 2024/25 data available in January 2025		
The % of the Talkabout panel reporting a 'satisfactory' experience when they last contacted the council about a service	27.07% (Q3 2023/24)	28.16% (Q1 2024/25)	⇧	Quarterly	Not available	Q3 2024/25 data available in January 2025		
The % of the Talkabout panel reporting a 'poor' experience when they last contacted the council about a service	15.47% (Q3 2023/24)	17.37% (Q1 2024/25)	₽	Quarterly	Not available	Q3 2024/25 data available in January 2025		

The DoT (Direction of Travel) is calculated on the latest three data points whether they are annual or quarterly. All historic data is available via the Open Data Platform

54. % of the Talkabout panel reporting an excellent, good, satisfactory or poor experience when they last contacted the council about a service

- The results for this indicator show that the majority of the panel report having a 'good' (22%) or 'satisfactory' (28%) experience when they last contacted the Council, with 8% reporting an 'excellent' experience and 17% reporting a 'poor' experience.

#### Consultation

55. Not applicable.

#### **Options**

56. Not applicable.

#### **Analysis**

57. Not applicable.

#### **Council Plan**

58. Not applicable.

#### **Implications**

- 59. The recommendations in the report potentially have implications across several areas. However, at this stage
  - Financial implications are contained throughout the main body of the report. The actions and recommendations contained in this

- report should ensure the continued financial stability and resilience of the Council both in the current year and in future years.
- Human Resources (HR), there are no direct implications related to the recommendations.
- Legal The Council is under a statutory obligation to set a balanced budget on an annual basis. Under the Local Government Act 2003 it is required to monitor its budget during the financial year and take remedial action to address overspending and/or shortfalls of income.
- Procurement, there are no specific procurement implications to this report.
- Health and Wellbeing, there are no direct implications related to the recommendations.
- **Environment and Climate action**, there are no direct implications related to the recommendations.
- Affordability, there are no direct implications related to the recommendations.
- Equalities and Human Rights, there are no direct implications related to the recommendations.
- Data Protection and Privacy, there are no implications related to the recommendations.
- **Communications**, there are no direct implications related to the recommendations.
- **Economy**, there are no direct implications related to the recommendations.

#### Risk Management

- 60. An assessment of risks is completed as part of the annual budget setting exercise. These risks are managed effectively through regular reporting and corrective action being taken where necessary and appropriate.
- 61. The current financial position represents a significant risk to the Council's financial viability and therefore to ongoing service delivery. It is important to ensure that the mitigations and decisions outlined in this paper are delivered and that the overspend is reduced.

#### Recommendations

- 62. The Committee is asked to:
  - a. Note the finance and performance information.

b. Note that work will continue on identifying the savings needed to fully mitigate the forecast overspend.

Reason: to ensure expenditure is kept within the approved budget.

#### **Contact Details**

Author: Chief Officer Responsible for the

report:

Patrick Looker Debbie Mitchell
Head of Service Finance Director of Finance

Ext 1633

Report Date 10/10/24 Approved

Ian Cunningham Head of Business Intelligence Ext 5749

Wards Affected: List wards or tick box to indicate all ✓

For further information please contact the author of the report

Background Papers: None.

Annexes: EPAT Q1 24-25 Scrutiny Committee Scorecard





#### **Scrutiny - Economy, Place, Access and Transport 2024/2025**

No of Indicators = 80 | Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time. Produced by the Business Intelligence Hub September 2024

					Previous Ye	ears			2024/2025				
			Collection Frequency	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		JSA Claimants: % of Working Age Population (16-64)	Monthly	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
	CJGE06	Benchmark - National Data	Monthly	0.30%	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Monthly	0.30%	0.30%	0.30%	0.20%	-	-	-	-		
01.		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Monthly	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-		
01. Benefits	CJGE130	Universal Credit: Claimants	Monthly	11,103	11,524	13,258	13,248	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
fits		JSA and UC (Out of Work) % of working age population (16 - 64)	Monthly	2.00%	1.70%	1.80%	1.70%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	CJGE151	Benchmark - National Data	Monthly	4.20%	3.80%	3.90%	3.90%	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Monthly	4.50%	4.20%	4.30%	4.40%	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Monthly	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-		
	CJGE03	York's unemployment rate below the national (%pt) - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	1.50%	1.60%	1.40%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
		% of Part time employees	Quarterly	23.00%	29.30%	29.80%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
	CJGE05	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	23.20%	23.60%	23.60%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	24.50%	25.90%	25.00%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Quarterly	4	12	13	-	-	-	-	-		
		% of working age population qualified - No qualifications (New methodology from 2022/23)	Annual	3.70%	3.00%	4.90%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
	CJGE17	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	6.60%	6.80%	6.50%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	7.80%	8.00%	7.60%	-	-	-	-	-		
8		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	1	(Avail Oct 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
2. Emp		% of working age population qualified - to at least L2 and above (New methodology from 2022/23)	Annual	87.90%	94.20%	90.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
юy	CJGE18	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	78.20%	85.80%	86.50%	-	-	-	-	-		
mei		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	76.40%	84.50%	85.10%	-	-	-	-	-		
nt a		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
02. Employment and Skills		% of working age population qualified - to at least L4 and above (New methodology from 2022/23)	Annual	59.30%	60.30%	53.80%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
S	CJGE20	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	43.50%	45.70%	47.30%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	38.00%	38.90%	41.20%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	3	4	-	-	-	-	-		
	CJGE71	Employment Rate (%) (Male)	Quarterly	85.90%	85.10%	79.10%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Quarterly	1	2	5	-	-	-	-	-		
	CJGE72	Employment Rate (%) (Female)	Quarterly	77.80%	82.10%	76.80%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Quarterly	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-		12330
	emp1	% of working age population in employment (16-64)	Quarterly	81.80%	83.60%	78.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Quarterly	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	BLW01	% of Total Employees working for an Accredited Living Wage employer	Annual	14.50%	16.00%	(Avail Oct 2024)	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral

					Previous Yea	ars			2024/2025				
			Collection Frequency	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	CJGE23	% of vacant shops - City Centre	Monthly	8.49%	8.50%	8.87%	8.40%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data (Local Data Company)	Annual	14.40%	13.80%	14.00%	-	-	-	-	-		
	CJGE29	Business Deaths	Annual	725	745	(Avail Oct 2024)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	12	13	(Avail Oct 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
03.	CJGE32	Business Startups - (YTD)	Discontinued	746	870	NA	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
. Business	CJGE33	GVA per head (balanced calculations) (£)	Annual	33,571	37,313	(Avail Apr 2025)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
ess		Regional Rank (Rank out of 12)	Annual	2	2	(Avail Apr 2025)	-	-	-	-	-		Croon
0,	CJGE34	Total GVA (balanced calculations) (£ billion)	Annual	6.78	7.63	(Avail Apr 2025)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	00000	Regional Rank (Rank out of 11)	Annual	10	10	(Avail Apr 2025)	-	-	-	_	_	Jood	Iveutiai
	GWC01	% of Total Employees working for an Accredited Good Business Charter employer	Annual	12.10%	13.40%	(Avail Oct 2024)	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
		Survival of Newly Born Businesses post 1 year	Annual	94.00%	94.40%	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>◆▶</b> Neutral
	NBB01	Benchmark: Post 2 years	Annual	(Due 2023)	(Due 2024)	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	-	-	-	_	Neutral	Neutral
		Benchmark: Post 3 years	Annual	(Due 2024)	(Due 2025)	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	-	-	-	_	Neutral	4▶
		Median earnings of residents - Gross Weekly Pay (£)	Annual	£597.90	£611	£646.80	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
	CJGE14	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	£613.10	£644.70	£682.60	-	-	-	-	_	0000	Gleen
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	£568.50	£594.50	£631.50	-	-	-	-	-		
2		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
04. Earnings	CJGE16	Earnings gap between the 25 percentile and the median (£) (York)	Annual	£193.60	£164.20	£152.20	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Green
ngs		Median earnings of residents - Gross Weekly Pay (£) - Gender Pay Gap	Annual	£146.60	£44.70	£82.40	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>A</b>
	CJGE68	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	£96.20	£99.70	£99.50	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	£103	£113.20	£112.40	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	11	1	2	-	-	-	-	-		
		% of Talkabout panel satisfied with their local area as a place to live	Quarterly	84.38%	82.18%	79.68%	81.00%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	TAP01	Benchmark - Community Life Survey	Annual	76.00%	(Due 2024)	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	TAPUT	Benchmark - LG Inform	Quarterly	80.00%	79.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		% of Talkabout panel dissatisfied with their local area as a place to live	Quarterly	9.74%	10.64%	13.10%	13.18%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
	TARRO	% of Talkabout panel who think that the council are doing well at improving green spaces	Quarterly	43.26%	38.30%	36.84%	36.70%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
05. R	TAP30	% of Talkabout panel who think that the council are not doing well at improving green spaces	Quarterly	41.25%	45.74%	45.43%	42.02%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
05. Resident Surveys	TAP32	% of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well at improving the quality of streets/public spaces	Quarterly	36.53%	31.56%	28.41%	26.74%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
surveys	IAFOZ	% of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well at improving the quality of streets/public spaces	Quarterly	56.29%	59.42%	64.62%	64.44%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
		% of the panel reporting an 'excellent' experience when they last contacted the council about a service	Quarterly	-	-	8.56%	8.42%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	TAP37	% of the panel reporting a 'good' experience when they last contacted the council about a service	Quarterly	-	-	27.35%	22.11%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral

					Previous Ye	ars			2024/2025				
			Collection Frequency	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	IAISI	% of the panel reporting a 'satisfactory' experience when they last contacted the council about a service	Quarterly	-	-	27.07%	28.16%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
		% of the panel reporting a 'poor' experience when they last contacted the council about a service	Quarterly	-	-	15.47%	17.37%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		New Homes Built on Previously Developed Land (%)	Quarterly	60.14%	76.72%	60.98%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	CES13	Homes Provided on Greenfield Land (Gross)	Quarterly	167	115	222	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
		Homes Provided on Brownfield Land (Gross)	Quarterly	252	379	347	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
	CES905	% of major planning applications determined within 13 Weeks (NPI157a National Measure)	Quarterly	96.00%	93.00%	(Avail Sep 2024)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
	OL0303	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	87.00%	87.00%	(Avail Sep 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	87.00%	90.00%	(Avail Sep 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
06. F	CES910	% of non-major planning applications determined within 8 Weeks (NPI157b National Measure)	Quarterly	92.00%	86.00%	(Avail Sep 2024)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
ous	0200.0	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	85.00%	87.00%	(Avail Sep 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
sing		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	86.00%	89.00%	(Avail Sep 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
06. Housing and Planning		Average House Price	Monthly	£306,919	£327,184	£314,438	£316,045	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
lan	CJGE121a	Benchmark - National Data	Monthly	£292,523	£299,313	£294,696	£300,479	-	-	-	-		
] ]		Benchmark - Regional Data	Monthly	£199,607	£203,635	£209,868	£215,347	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Monthly	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-		
	CJGE171	Housing affordability (median house prices to earnings ratio)	Annual	8.85	9.3	(Avail Oct 2024)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
	CJGE171	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	8.92	8.14	(Avail Oct 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	14	15	(Avail Oct 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
	HM01	Gross Additional Homes Provided	Quarterly	419	494	569	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
	HM03	Net Additional Homes Provided	Quarterly	402	459	528	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
	HM07	Net Housing Consents	Quarterly	327	1,559	658	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
07. Public Protection	PP04	% of customers who were satisfied with the overall level of service provided	Annual	NC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
ublic	PP06	% of food premises that are classified as broadly compliant - (YTD)	Quarterly	86.00%	89.50%	93.00%	90.00%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
	CAN029	% of ultra-low emission vehicles in CYC Fleet, operating in York (Electric and Hybrid)	Quarterly	1.66%	27.73%	34.00%	38.00%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
	CAN029i	% of ultra-low emission Licensed Taxis operating in York (Electric and Hybrid)	Quarterly	30.60%	34.40%	38.00%	38.10%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	CAN029ii	% of ultra-low emission Buses (ULEB) operating in York (Electric and Hybrid) - (low emission Buses before 2022/23)	Quarterly	90.00%	40.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
	CAN37	% of low emission vehicles in CYC Fleet, operating in York (Electric, Hybrid and Euro 6)	Quarterly	30.00%	47.05%	56.00%	60.00%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
	CAN026	The number of CYC electric vehicle recharging points in York (updated definition Q4 21/22 to CYC points only)	Quarterly	62	110	103	103	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
	EV03	Number of publicly available EV charging DEVICES (may have more than one charging connector) recorded on ZapMap - All speeds	Quarterly	101	154	147	146	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>◀▶</b> Neutral

				Previous Years				2024/2025				
		Collection Frequency	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DO
EV03a	Rate of publicly available EV charging DEVICES (may have more than one charging connector) recorded on ZapMap per 100,000 population - All speeds	Quarterly	47.86	76.36	72.89	71.4	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Re
	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	45.77	60.5	91.1	97.4	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	27.4	36.61	53.33	55.9	-	-	-	-		
EV04	Number of publicly available EV charging DEVICES (may have more than one charging connector) recorded on ZapMap – Rapid chargers	Quarterly	14	29	35	36	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	G
EV04a	Rate of publicly available EV charging DEVICES (may have more than one charging connector) recorded on ZapMapper 100,000 population – Rapid chargers	Quarterly	6.63	14.38	17.35	17.6	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Ne
	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	8.03	11.06	16.94	18.1	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	7.94	10.62	15.14	15.8	-	-	-	-		
CAN031	P&R Passenger Journeys - (LI 3 b) - (2009 baseline: 3,941,852)	Monthly	2.59m	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	G
CAN032	Local bus passenger journeys originating in the authority area (excluding P&R) (LI 3 a) - (2009 baseline: 10,832,614)	Monthly	7.82m	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	G
CAN032-A	Passenger journeys on local bus services (Not comparable with CAN031/CAN032 - DfT measure - BUS01e)	Annual	10.8m	13.2m	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	G
CAN032-B	Passenger journeys on local bus services per head of population - (DfT measure BUS01f)	Annual	53.4	65.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	(
CANU32-D	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	50.2	59.8	-	-	-	-	-	-		Г
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	35.1	40.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CES14	Reported number of PEOPLE killed in road traffic accidents (Calendar Year) (LI 13a)	Monthly	5 (2021)	4 (2022)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	N
CES14i	Reported number of PEOPLE killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic accidents (Calendar Year) (LI 13a (i))	Monthly	44 (2021)	42 (2022)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	I
CES16	Reported number of PEOPLE slightly injured in road traffic accidents (Calendar Year) (LI 13c)	Monthly	315 (2021)	347 (2022)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	I N
CES17	Reported number of CHILDREN (0-15) killed in road traffic accidents (Calendar Year) (LI 13b)	Monthly	0 (2021)	0 (2022)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	ı
CES28	Index of cycling activity (%) (12 hour) from 2009 Baseline (31,587) (Calendar Year) (LI 2c(ii))	Annual	107.00% (2021)	115.00% (2022)	113.00% (2023)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	N
CES33	Index of pedestrians walking to and from the City Centre (%) (12 hour in and out combined) from 2009/10 Baseline (37,278) (LI 1 (vii.i))	Annual	104.00%	123.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	N
CES34	% of customers arriving at York Station by sustainable modes of transport (cycling, walking, taxi or bus - excluding cars, Lift, Motorcycle, Train) (Calendar Year) (LI 4a)	Annual	76.70% (2021)	60.00% (2022)	78.00% (2023)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	N
TSS08B	% of tenants who say car parking is not a problem in their neighbourhood	Annual	38.97%	40.10%	32.91%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	N
YCC036	Customer Centre Tickets issued - Parking	Monthly	427	508	262	62	-	-	-	-	Neutral	N
YCC107	YCC Number of calls offered - Parking	Weekly	27,338	20,911	16,033	3,516	-	-	-	-	Neutral	N
	Household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting (%) (DEFRA)	Quarterly	43.17%	41.26% (Prov)	40.68% (Prov)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	N
CES36	Household waste recycled / composted: Benchmark - National Data	Annual	42.50%	41.70%	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
	Household waste recycled / composted: Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	42.30%	41.50%	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	_	-	-	_		

					Previous Yea	rs			2024/2025				
			Collection Frequency	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		Household waste recycled / composted: Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	10	10	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
	CES36a	Household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting per household (kg/household) (DEFRA)	Quarterly	389.22kg	353.62kg (Prov)	359.17kg (Prov)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutra
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	465.53kg	(Avail Nov 2023)	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
09. W	05005	Municipal waste sent to landfill (%) (DEFRA)	Quarterly	4.97%	2.87% (Prov)	1.82% (Prov)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
Waste	CES37	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	2.90%	2.50%	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	13	12	(Avail Nov 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
	CES46	Missed waste collections - Number of issues reported	Monthly	2,455	1,868	2,308	862	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
	CES48	Missed waste collections - Number of issues meeting criteria for recollection per 100,000 collections - (YTD)	Monthly	52	39.6	49.1	73.4	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	A Red
	CES49	Missed waste collections - Number of issues meeting criteria for recollection dealt with	Monthly	2,433	1,865	2,305	662	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	CES76	Total tonnes of waste used for energy recovery	Quarterly	43,717.96	43,546.73	37,775.82	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutra
	CSPEC6	GRAFFITI - Number of issues reported	Monthly	452	856	550	95	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	CSPEC4	VEGETATION - Number of issues reported (includes weeds and overgrown hedges)	Monthly	1,993	2,112	2,711	956	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
10. P	C3FLC4	VEGETATION - Number of issues reported (includes weeds and overgrown hedges) - (Rolling 12 months)	Monthly	1,997	2,116	2,713	2,667	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
10. Public Realm	CSPEC7	LITTER BINS - Number of issues reported - (Customer Raised)	Monthly	165	151	194	49	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutra
ealm	CSPEC8	DOG BINS - Number of issues reported - (Customer Raised)	Monthly	52	36	62	29	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
	YCC227	STREET CLEANING - Number of issues reported	Monthly	2,150	2,307	2,214	549	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutra
	SLA04	STREET CLEANING - % of standard cleansing cases resolved within SLA (3 days) - (YTD)	Monthly	67.70%	65.20%	52.60%	50.80%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
		% of Principal roads where maintenance should be considered (NI 130-01)	Annual	11.00%	NC	10.58%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutra
	CES05	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	4.00%	4.00%	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	3.00%	3.00%	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	N/C	N/A	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
<u> </u>		% of Non-principal classified roads where maintenance should be considered (NI 130-02)	Annual	23.00%	NC	25.38%	-	-	-	-		Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutra
. <del>.</del> I	CES06	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	6.00%	6.00%	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
Highways		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	3.00%	3.00%	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
vаy		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	N/C	N/A	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
Ø		% of Unclassified roads where maintenance should be considered (old BV224b)	Annual	24.00%	NC	41.84%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Red
	CES07	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	15.00%	17.00%	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	17.00%	15.00%	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	N/C	N/A	(Avail Dec 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
	CES100	Area Wide Traffic Levels (07:00 -19:00) (Excluding A64) from 2009/10 baseline (2.09m) (LI 10diii)	Annual	2.07m	2.08m	-	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutra
		Large Project - Local Plan	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutra
		Large Project - York Central	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutra

יסר ו	
മ	
9	
Ø	
w	
တ	

					Previous Year	rs			2024/2025				
			Collection Frequency	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		Large Project - Castle Gateway	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - Outer Ring Road (A1237)	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - Housing Delivery Programme	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
12.		Large Project - Provision of School Places	Discontinued	Amber	Amber	Complete	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	CORP10L	Large Project - Smart Travel Evolution Programme (STEP)	Quarterly	Green	Green	Green	Green	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
Large Projects	CORFIOL	Large Project - Flood Risk	Quarterly	Green	Green	Green	Closed	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
cts		Large Project - City Centre Access	Quarterly	Amber	Green	Red	Amber	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
		Large Project - Haxby Station	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - Haxby Station	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
		Large Project - York Station Gateway	Quarterly	NA	Amber	Red	Red	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - York Station Gateway	Quarterly	NA	Amber	Red	Red	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - Ousewerm (York and North Yorkshire Catchment Flood Management Project)	Quarterly	NA	Green	Green	Green	-	-	-	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
Sustaii	GCC03	Level of CO2 emissions from council buildings and operations (tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent)	Annual	3,633.30	3,462.42	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
Sustainabilit	GCC05	Number of trees planted (CYC)	Annual	73	1,099	1,240	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
Fina		Forecast Budget Outturn (£000s Overspent / - Underspent) - CYC Subtotal (excluding contingency)	Quarterly	£2,638	£4,887	£3,661	£3,896	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral

# Page 37

# genda Item 8

#### Economy, Place, Access, and Transport Scrutiny Committee Work Plan

#### **Quarterly finance and performance monitoring reports:**

To include along with papers for the relevant committee date, but not to be treated as a substantive agenda item. We can still raise questions/comments about them though by exception if Members wish to; perhaps then following up with specific agenda item in future.

# Possible Task and Finish Groups to run in parallel. *Detailed scope needs working out between interested Members prior to committee approval.*

- Grass verges, etc?

Interested Members: Cllrs Hook, Steward, and Taylor.

- Broadband installation issues?

Interested Members: Cllrs Hook and Nelson

#### **Role of Executive Members:**

- Expected to attend items relevant to their portfolio area
- Committee Members to maintain sight of Executive business and flag up specific issues/reports to bring to committee for scrutiny, pending space on the workplan.

#### Other notes for info:

To give sufficient notice we should aim to confirm these no later than 2 calendar months prior to each meeting. We should aim to keep to two substantive items per meeting to give the Committee sufficient time to properly consider an item.

Theme	Item	Lead Officer	Scope
21 May 2024			
	Local Transport Plan update / latest consultation / upcoming decisions?	James Gilchrist Michael Howard Julian Ridge	
25 June 2024			
	York pipeline of proposals for Combined Authority Yorkshire Water - sewage dumping / performance /	Samuel Blyth	
	improvement plans?		
	Task and Finish Group – Dial and Ride		
30 July 2024 (meeting Cancelled)			
	(Out-turn) Finance and Performance Monitoring Report	Patrick Looker	
	Broadband: management of installation process and its impact on communities, maximising connectivity in harder-to-reach / isolated areas.		
	Toilet provision across the city: improving the public offer.		
24 September 2024			
	(Out-turn) Finance and Performance Monitoring Report	Patrick Looker	

	Local Transport Strategy Implementation Plan Public toilet provision and what the Council is doing / can do to improve the offer (both in terms of quality, accessibility, and availability)	James Gilchrist	
22 October 2024	(Q1) Finance and Performance Monitoring Report	Patrick Looker	
	City centre access: reviewing the implementation / restoration of access for blue badge holders; including data on the numbers/types of vehicles accessing during foot street hours. Plus looking at faults with HVB.		
	Broadband management of installation process and its impact on communities, maximising connectivity in harder-to-reach / isolated areas.		

26 November 2024			
	Neighbourhood Caretakers -		
	what's going on with these,		
	how can Scrutiny help		
	Travel to School - plans for each		
	school to make daily journeys		
	safer, increase active travel,		
	reduce congestion and air		
	pollution around school sites		
10 December 2024			
	(Q2) Finance and Performance	Patrick Looker	
	Monitoring Report		
	Economic Strategy progress		
	update		
	Economic Development - CYC /		
	MIY / MCA / York Economic		
	Partnership?		
28 January 2025			
	Review of Garden Waste	James Gilchrist	
	collections following the		
	introduction of charges	Ben Grabham	
	Review of bags to bins		
	Recycling (particularly garden		
	waste / composing) and how		
	the Council can increase rates,		

	as well as education about recycling opportunities, across the city.		
25 March 2025			
	(Q3) Finance and Performance	Patrick Looker	
	Monitoring Report		
29 April 2025		•	

#### Potential Scrutiny agenda items suggested by Committee Members not currently on the work plan:

#### **Economy**

- York's Gender Pay Gap
- Tourism Levy: what's being done elsewhere, what is possible here?
- Focus on Council-owned / part-owned companies?

#### Place

- Planning Enforcement / Member involvement in Planning
- Make It York normally receive annual update but what would we specifically want from them? Possibly something on relationship with market traders and plans for the market.
- York BID normally receive annual update as above, and is the scrutiny of their business membership sufficient given our limited meeting time?
- York Central: once the Master Developers start progressing their plans, could this committee have a role in giving early steer on them for relevant items?

#### **Access**

• Age Friendly York: Can Scrutiny assist their Evolving Action Plan?

#### **Transport**

- Buses: network coverage and frequency, BSIP plan implementation and use of funding, improving the Enhanced Bus Partnership, Integration with Railway Station / Park & Ride, Bus Stop improvements, Passenger Information
- Car Parking: provision across the city covering public, private and Park & Ride, income received, use, impact on closing Castle Car Park on the wider estate, wider aims e.g. shifting to less polluting vehicles.
- Bus lane enforcement on Coppergate drop between July 2023 and October 2023 / ANPR enforcement across the city.
- Review of Council's pedestrian crossing policy
- Travel to School plans for each school to make daily journeys safer, increase active travel, reduce congestion and air pollution around school sites